

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/025,567	12/26/2001	Peter Nash	C150.12.3D	8358
75	590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard John Bartz			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
Suite 350 6750 France Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Edina, MN 55435			1644	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	10/025,567	NASH ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phuong Huynh	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The orange of the period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	f extension and the corresponding amore he shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the maili	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 August 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	sissues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: None.	Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .	Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 5-7 and 12-29</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 22 have overcome the rejection of Claims 1, 3, and 22-23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. All other rejections remain.

EMRISTINA CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

2